

Now let  $(K, D)$  be an arbitrary differential field, and  $m \in K[Y]$  irreducible, so that  $K(y) := K[Y]/\langle m \rangle$  is a field. Recall that  $K(y)$  is a  $K$ -vector space of dimension  $\deg_y m$ , with  $1, y, \dots, y^{\deg_y m - 1}$  as a basis.

Thm: There is exactly one derivation  $\bar{D}: K(y) \rightarrow K(y)$  with  $\bar{D}|_K = D$ .

Proof: For any choice  $p \in K[Y]$  there is a unique derivation  $\bar{D}: K[Y] \rightarrow K[Y]$  with  $\bar{D}(Y) = p$ . In order to get a well-defined derivation on the quotient ring  $K[Y]/\langle m \rangle$  it is necessary and sufficient to choose  $p$  such that  $\langle m \rangle$  is closed under  $\bar{D}$ , i.e. such that  $\bar{D}(m) \in \langle m \rangle$ . Writing  $m = m_0 + m_1 Y + \dots + m_r Y^r$ , this forces

$$0 = D(m_0) + D(m_1)y + \dots + D(m_r)y^r + m_1 \bar{D}(y) + m_2 \bar{D}(y)^2 + \dots + m_r r y^{r-1} \bar{D}(y)$$

$$= \underbrace{D(m)}_{\substack{\text{coefficient} \\ \text{wise derivative} \\ \text{of } m}} + \bar{D}(y) * \underbrace{(D_y m)(y)}_{\substack{\text{usual derivative} \\ \text{of } m \text{ w.r.t } y}}$$

so we must have  $\bar{D}(y) = -\frac{D(m)(y)}{(D_y m)(y)}$ .

(Note that  $(D_y m)(y) \neq 0$  because  $m$  is irreducible.)

Hence there is exactly one choice for  $P$ .  $\square$

Ex:  $m = y^2 - x$

$$0 = \bar{D}(y^2 - x) = 2y\bar{D}(y) - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{D}(y) = \frac{1}{2y} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{y}{y^2} = \frac{1}{2x} y.$$

Thm (Abel) Every algebraic function is  $D$ -finite.

Proof. Let  $y$  be an algebraic function with minimal polynomial  $m \in \mathbb{C}(x)[Y]$ .

Then  $\mathbb{C}(x)[Y]/\langle m \rangle$  is a differential field by the previous thm. It is also a

$\mathbb{C}(x)$ -vector space of dimension  $r = \deg_y m$ ,

so any choice of  $r+1$  many elements will be linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{C}(x)$ .

In particular, there exist  $p_0, \dots, p_r \in \mathbb{C}(x)$ , not all zero, such that

$$p_0 y + p_1 D(y) + \dots + p_r D^r(y) = 0. \quad \square$$

$$y = y$$

$$D(y) = \theta + \theta y + \dots + \theta y^{r-1}$$

$$D^2(y) = \theta + \theta y + \dots + \theta y^{r-1}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$D^r(y) = \theta + \theta y + \dots + \theta y^{r-1}$$

$$\begin{matrix} & y & D(y) & \dots & D^r(y) \\ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ y \\ \vdots \\ y^{r-1} \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \theta & \dots & \theta \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \theta & \dots & \theta \end{pmatrix} & \cdot & \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \\ \vdots \\ p_r \end{pmatrix} & = & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

more variables than equations  
 $\Rightarrow$  nontrivial solution.

Note that the proof also shows that there is always a differential equation whose order is at most the degree of the minimal polynomial. It may be smaller, though,

eg  $y^2 - x = 0 \Rightarrow 2x D(x) - 1 = 0.$

Thm: If  $y_1, y_2$  are algebraic functions then so are  $D(y_1), y_1 + y_2, y_1 \cdot y_2, y_1 \circ y_2, \frac{1}{y_1}, y_1^{-1}$  (functional inverse).

Proof (for + and  $\cdot$ ) Let  $m_1, m_2 \in C(x)[Y]$  be the minimal polynomials of  $y_1, y_2$ , respectively, and consider the differential field  $C(x)[Y_1, Y_2] / \langle m_1(Y_1), m_2(Y_2) \rangle$   
 $(\cong (C(x)[Y_1] / \langle m_1(Y_1) \rangle)[Y_2] / \langle m_2(Y_2) \rangle)$ .

It is a  $C(x)$ -vector space of dimension  $d = \deg m_1 \cdot \deg m_2$ . Therefore, the elements

$1, (y_1 + y_2), \dots, (y_1 + y_2)^d$   
 are linearly dependent over  $C(x)$ ,  
 whence for  $\cdot$ .  $\square$

Remarks:

(1) Annihilating polynomials for  $y_1 + y_2$  etc can be computed by linear algebra, or with Gröbner bases, eg

$$\underbrace{\langle z - (Y_1 + Y_2), m_1(Y_1), m_2(Y_2) \rangle}_{\in C(x)[z, Y_1, Y_2]} \cap C(x)[z]$$

(2) If  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  are algebraic fns with minimal polynomials  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{C}(x)[y]$ , and  $m$  is the annihilating polynomial for  $a_1 + a_2$  (or  $a_1 \cdot a_2$ ) computed as described above (linear algebra or Cramer bases), then  $m$  need not be the minimal polynomial. Why not? It's because  $m$  does not know which roots of  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  we have in mind, so it has to annihilate all  $\tilde{a}_1 + \tilde{a}_2$  where  $\tilde{a}_1$  is some solution of  $m_1$  and  $\tilde{a}_2$  is some solution of  $m_2$ .

(3) If  $m$  is not the minimal polynomial of  $a_1 + a_2$  (or  $a_1 \cdot a_2$ ), one of its irreducible factors is. If  $p$  is an irreducible factor of  $m$ , we cannot easily detect that it is the right one, i.e. that  $p(x, a_1 + a_2) = 0 \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ ,



but we can recognize in a finite number of steps that  $p(x, a_1 + a_2) \neq 0$  (if this is the case). So we can find the right factor by excluding the wrong ones.

(4) This set of all ~~computable~~ algebraic tps forms a subbody of  $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ . This subbody is computable. As a representation, we can use the minimal polynomial  $m \in \mathbb{C}(x)[Y]$  together with enough initial values to distinguish the series from the other tps solutions of  $m$ .

### 3 D-linear Functions

Write an algebraic equation of degree  $r$  has (at most)  $r$  distinct solutions, the solution set of a linear eq of order  $r$  is a vector space over the constant field  $C$ . What can we say about its dimension?

Thm: Let  $K$  be a differential field with constant field  $C$ . Let  $p_0, \dots, p_r \in K$  and  $V = \{y \in K \mid p_0 y + \dots + p_r D^r(y) = 0\} \subseteq K$ . Then  $V$  is a  $C$ -vector space and  $\dim_C V \leq r$ .

Proof: It is clear that  $V$  is a  $C$ -VS, because  $D: K \rightarrow K$  is a  $C$ -linear map. Let  $y_0, \dots, y_r \in V$ . We show that they are linearly dependent over  $C$ .

In fact, we show that the vectors

$\begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ D(y_i) \\ \vdots \\ D^r(y_i) \end{pmatrix} (i=0 \dots r)$  are linearly dependent over  $C$ . They clearly are dependent over  $K$ , because the dep implies

$$\begin{vmatrix} y_0 & \dots & y_r \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ D^r(y_0) & \dots & D^r(y_r) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} y_0 & \dots & y_r \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ D^r(y_0) & \dots & D^r(y_r) \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

So let  $c_0, \dots, c_r \in K$  be such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^r c_i \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ \vdots \\ D^r(y_i) \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad \text{Then, in fact,}$$

$\sum_{i=0}^r c_i D^j(y_i) = 0$  for all  $j \geq 0$  by induction and the dep. Wlog we may assume that

$$(c_0, \dots, c_r) = (\ast, \dots, \ast, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ k\text{-th posn}}}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$$

with minimal possible  $k$ . Then

$$0 = D\left(\sum_{i=0}^r c_i \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^r D(c_i) \begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^r c_i \begin{pmatrix} D(y_i) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}}_{= 0}$$



Since  $D(c_2) = D(1) = 0$ , the induction hypothesis forces  $D(c_i) = 0$  for all  $i$ , so  $c_0, \dots, c_r \in C$ , as claimed.  $\square$

Remarks:

(1) Note that if  $K$  is not carefully constructed,  $\text{const } K$  may be larger than expected.

Ex: For  $K = C(x, y)$  with  $D(x) = 1$ ,  $D(y) = \frac{2}{x}y$  we have  $\frac{y}{x^2} \in \text{const } K$  because  $D\left(\frac{y}{x^2}\right) = \frac{D(y)x^2 - yD(x^2)}{x^4} = \frac{2yx - y^2x}{x^4} = 0$

We call  $\frac{y}{x^2} \in C(x, y) \setminus C$  a "fake constant".

We mostly care about the case where  $K$  is some extension of  $C(x)$  with  $D(x) = 1$  and  $\text{const } K = C$ . See literature on "differential algebra" for a more elaborate discussion.

(2) There is an analogous theorem (with analogous proof) for difference fields and recurrence equations.