Towards model-driven neural networks Lecture Series Artificial Intelligence

Department of Numerical Analysis, Faculty of Informatics, ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

Péter Kovács

December 15, 2020



Outline



## Motivations

- Thermographic imaging
- Model based approach
- ML based approach
- Hybrid approach
- Experiments
- VPNet
- Architectures
- Experiments

## Conclusion

## Outline Motivations



## Motivations

- Thermographic imaging
- Model based approach
- ML based approach
- Hybrid approach
- Experiments
- VPNet
- Architectures
- Experiments

## Conclusion

## General motivations Motivations







## Why to combine model-based algorithms with DNN?

- Improve the performance: incorporate domain knowledge.
- Open the blackbox: interpret DNN predictions.
- Temper DNN's data-hunger: reduce the training data.

## How to do that?

- Traditional approach: feature engineering.
  - Pros: dimensionality reduction, interpretability.
  - Cons: usually suboptimal.
- Architectures based on existing algorithms: deep unfolding, Wiener-Hammerstein type NNs, spline adaptive filtering, tensor-based learning.
- Representation learning: convolutional nerual networks, autoencoders, etc.

## In this talk... Motivations





## Case studies

- Thermographic image regression
  - Linear models + DNN
  - Domain knowledge included via physical models

## ECG classification

- Nonlinear models + DNN
- Domain knowledge included via model-driven representation learning

## Outline Thermographic imaging



## Motivations

## Thermographic imaging

- Model based approach
- ML based approach
- Hybrid approach
- Experiments
- VPNet
- Architectures
- Experiments

## Conclusion

## Problem description Thermographic imaging



#### Goal

## Analysis, detection of structural imperfections of materials.



#### 8/48

## Problem description Thermographic imaging



## Linear model

- **d**: noisy surface temperature measurements after heating.
- **u**: initial temperature distribution inside the material.
- $lacksymbol{\Phi}$ : forward mapping that models the heat conduction.
- The corresponding discrete linear\_inverse problem:

#### $\Phi \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{d}.$

## Heuristics

- Nonnegativity: entries of **u** represents temperature data.
- Sparsity: locations of nonzeros in **u** are either defects or noise.
- $\blacksquare$  Group sparsity: nonzero groups in  ${\bf u}$  are most probably defects.

## Challenges in thermographic imaging

- Numerical: it is a discrete ill-posed inverse problem.
- Computational: it is a large-scale problem.
- Modeling: how to derive  $\mathbf{\Phi}?$



Motivations

- Thermographic imaging
- Model based approach
- ML based approach
- Hybrid approach
- Experiments
- VPNet
- Architectures
- Experiments

## Conclusion



## Two-stage reconstruction process [1]

## One-stage reconstruction process

By  $\Phi = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{M}$ , the full reconstruction can be written as follows:  $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{u}} \{ \|\mathbf{d} - \Phi\mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \nu^2 \cdot \Omega(\mathbf{u}) \}.$ 

[1] P. Burgholzer, M. Thor, J. Gruber, and G. Mayr. Three-dimensional thermographic imaging using a virtual wave concept. Journal of Applied Physics, 121(10):105102 1–11, 2017.

## Two-stage reconstruction process Model based approach





## Two-stage reconstruction process Model based approach





Figure: Second stage of the reconstruction process. a) Virtual wave reconstruction by ADMM with Abel trf.; initial temperature distribution by b) tsaft, c) reg tsaft, and d) group sparse grp. tsaft, where groups of size  $10 \times 10$  were used as indicated by the black grid.

## Outline ML based approach

## Motivations

- Thermographic imaging
- Model based approach

## ML based approach

- Hybrid approach
- Experiments

## VPNet

Architectures

## Experiments

## Conclusion





## Motivation

- Existing iterative algorithms can converge to bad solutions.
- The convergence can be slow, and the solution have to be recalculated for every new image.
- Incorporating problem-specific information into an algorithm.

## Sparse estimation via ML techniques

We want to learn an algorithm for solving

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{u}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{u}} f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{u}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{u}} \{ \|\mathbf{d} - \boldsymbol{\Phi}\mathbf{u}\|_{2}^{2} + \tau^{2} \cdot \|\mathbf{u}\|_{1} \}.$$

Parameter class of interests

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = \left\{ \mathbf{d}, \boldsymbol{\Phi} \right\}, \quad \Omega = \left\{ \mathbf{d}, \boldsymbol{\Phi} \, | \, \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^N, \, \boldsymbol{\Phi} \in \mathbb{R}^{N imes M} 
ight\}$$

 ${f \Phi}$  and  ${f d}$  are not arbitrary, they are prescribed by physical models.

## ML approches for thermography ML based approach

20

60

time t

100





300 20

60

depth z

100

## Outline Hybrid approach

- Motivations
- Thermographic imaging
- Model based approach
- ML based approach
- Hybrid approach
- Experiments
- VPNet
- Architectures
- Experiments

## Conclusion





## Reconstruction in 2D

- 1) Extract the virtual waves  $\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}$  from the measurements  $\mathbf{d}.$ 
  - utilize the sparse and non-negative nature of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{v}};$

2) Estimate the temperature distribution  $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}$  by machine learning:

- input: thermal diffusivity invariant virtual waves  $\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}$
- output: approximation of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}$

## Reconstruction in 3D [2]

Estimate the temperature distribution in each 2D cross-section.

**3**D reconstruction from the sequence of 2D images.

[2] P. Kovács, B. Lehner, G. Thummerer, G. Mayr, P. Burgholzer, M. Huemer, Deep learning approaches for thermographic imaging, Journal of Applied Physics, 2020, vol. 128, no. 15, pp. 155103-1-16.

## Deep learning by u-net Hybrid approach





Figure: Architecture of the compact u-net.

Data sets Hybrid approach



## Mathematical model of the heat diffusion

$$\left( \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) T(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\frac{1}{\alpha} T_0(\mathbf{r}) \delta(t),$$

where

- $\blacksquare$   $\alpha$  stands for the thermal diffusivity,
- $\blacksquare$  T is the temperature as a function of space  $\mathbf{r}$  and time t,
- $T_0$  denotes the initial temperature profile at t = 0.

Data generation in 2D assuming adiabatic boundary conditions

$$\widehat{T}(k_y, k_z, t) = \widehat{T}_0(k_y, k_z) \cdot \exp(-(k_y^2 + k_z^2) \cdot \alpha t),$$

where

- $lacksymbol{\ }$   $\widehat{T},\,\widehat{T}_0$  are the cosine transforms of T and  $T_0$  in the yz-plane,
- $k_y$  and  $k_z$  are the corresponding spatial frequencies.



## Training data

- **8**,000 simulated noise free samples with adiabatic boundary conditions.
- 2-5 square-shaped defects with side lengths between 2 and 6 pixels.
- The resolution of each image is  $256 \times 64$ .
- 10 different versions of each sample were used, representing SNRs from -20 dB to 70 dB in 10 dB steps.
- Overall number of training images:  $10 \times 8000$

## Testing data

- 1,000 simulated samples similar to the training images.
- Overall number of test images:  $10 \times 1000$
- Real measurement data containing 256 images of size  $256 \times 64$ .

## Outline Experiments

- Motivations
- Thermographic imaging
- Model based approach
- ML based approach
- Hybrid approach
- Experiments
- VPNet
- Architectures
- Experiments

## Conclusion





## Numerical solvers for sparse approximation

- SPGL1 is for large-scale one-norm regularized least squares.
- YALL1 is a solver for basic/group sparse reconstruction.
- ASP is for solving several variations of the sparse optimization.
- ADMM (alternating direction method of multipliers) is a very general algorithm for solving sparse approximation problems.
- **SALSA** is a fast ADMM type algorithm for image reconstruction.
- IRfista is a recent numerical solver for large-scale problems.

## Tested model based approaches

- **f**kmig: Stolt's f-k migration without sparse regularization.
- **t**saft: Snythetic Aperture Focusing Technique in the time domain.
- reg tsaft: same as tsaft, but with sparse regularization.

## Simulation results Experiments



Figure: Reconstructions of a 0 dB SNR example from the test set.



## Simulation results

### Experiments





Figure: The MSE of the baselines and the proposed method.

#### Experiments





Figure: Parameters of the phantom.

## 🍓 (ik)

## Experiments



Figure: Using the model-based *fkmig* approach for 3D reconstruction of the specimen without rotation.



#### Experiments



Figure: Using the model-based *fkmig* approach for 3D reconstruction of the specimen a rotation of  $25^{\circ}$ .

## 퉳 (ik

## Experiments



Figure: Using the large end-to-end *e2e lrg* approach for 3D reconstruction of the specimen without rotation.

## 퉳 (ik)

### Experiments



Figure: Using the large end-to-end  $e2e \ lrg$  approach for 3D reconstruction of the specimen with a rotation of  $25^{\circ}$ .

## 퉳 (ik

### Experiments



Figure: Using the large hybrid *Irg* approach for 3D reconstruction of the specimen without rotation.



#### Experiments



Figure: Using the large hybrid *Irg* approach for 3D reconstruction of the specimen with a rotation of  $25^{\circ}$ .

How can we do better?

Experiments



## Reformulate the fist step

$$r(\mathbf{v}, \alpha) := \|\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{K}(\alpha)\mathbf{v}\|_2^2 \to \min_{\mathbf{v}, \alpha}$$

where

- **d** is the surface temperature data,
- **K** physics-based forward modeling of the first step,
- v virtual wave vector.
- $\alpha$  stands for the thermal diffusivity of the material.

## Can we define a network to learn $\alpha$ ?

- Search the solution to  $r(\mathbf{v}, \alpha)$  by Variable Projection (VP).
- Wrap the least-squares estimate  $\mathbf{K}^+(lpha)\mathbf{d}$  into a (VP)layer.
- $\blacksquare$  Define the gradient through  $\alpha$  by the theory of VP.



## Motivations

- Thermographic imaging
- Model based approach
- ML based approach
- Hybrid approach
- Experiments

## VPNet

- Architectures
- Experiments

## Conclusion

## General motivations VPNet



- Inspirations
  - CNNs, Wiener-Hammerstein based NNs.
  - Biomedical signal processing (ECG, EEG, EMG, etc.)
  - 1D signal processing with machine learning (neural networks)
- Traditional approach
  - Feature extraction + machine learning
  - Time- or frequency domain decomposition Fourier transform, Hermite functions, wavelets, statistical descriptors, variable projection (VP), etc.
  - Domain knowledge, model-based methods
  - Explainability
- Deep Learning
  - Deep NN, convolutional NN, recurrent NN, etc.
  - Representation learning
- Idea
  - Combination: model-based (deep) NN with VP

## Orthogonal transformations VPNet

🍓 (ik)

26/48

Linear modeling problem:

$$x \approx \tilde{x} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k \Phi_k = \Phi c$$

Best approximation problem in Hilbert spaces:  $\mathcal{S} := \operatorname{span}\{\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_{n-1}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  generated subspace

$$dist(x, S) := \min_{y \in S} ||x - y||_2 = ||x - \tilde{x}||_2$$

Solution to the discrete case (linear least squares):

- Generalized Fourier coefficients:  $c = \Phi^+ x$
- Orthogonal projection:  $\tilde{x} = P_{S}x = \Phi\Phi^{+}x$
- Orthogonal transformations with system  $\Phi$

e.g.: trigonometric system, Walsh, rational, and Hermite functions, etc.



## Variable Projection (VP) VPNet



Nonlinear modeling problem:

$$x \approx \tilde{x} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k \Phi_k(\theta) = \Phi(\theta)c$$

where:

- $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$  input data
- $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  model estimation
- $\Phi_k( heta) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  parametric function system
- $\Phi(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  system matrix
- c linear parameters, e.g.  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$  or  $c \in \mathbb{C}^n$
- heta nonlinear system parameters, e.g.  $heta \in \mathbb{D}^p$  for rational functions
- Linear and nonlinear parameters are separated

Nonlinear least-squares approximation of a QRS complex using Hermite functions parametrized by the dilation and the translation.

## Adaptive transformations VPNet



## General case:

$$r(c,\theta) := \|x - \Phi(\theta)c\|_2^2 \to \min_{c,\theta}$$

Decomposition:

- Generalized Fourier coefficients:  $c = \Phi^+(\theta) x$
- Orthogonal projection:  $\tilde{x} = P_{\mathcal{S}(\theta)}x = \Phi(\theta)\Phi^+(\theta)x$
- Variable projection functional [3]:

$$r_2(\theta) := \|x - \Phi(\theta)\Phi^+(\theta)x\|_2^2 \to \min_{\theta}$$

- Adaptive transformations
- $\{\Phi_k(\theta) \mid 0 \le k < n\}$  adaptive system,  $\mathcal{S}(\theta) := \operatorname{span}\{\Phi_k(\theta)\}$
- Function system itself is adapted to the input

<sup>[3]</sup> G. H. Golub and V. Pereyra. The differentiation of pseudo-inverses and nonlinear least squares problems whose variables separate. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 1973.

Example: B-splines VPNet



- $lacksymbol{ }$  Nonlinear parameters: free knots  $heta \in \mathbb{R}^p$
- Applications:
  - ECG compression [4]
  - ECG heartbeat classification [5]

(a) Initial estimation.

(b) Optimizing the knots by VP.

[4] P. Kovács and A. M. Fekete. Nonlinear least-squares spline fitting with variable knots. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 354:490–501, 2019.

[5] T. Dózsa, G. Bognár, and P. Kovács. Ensemble learning for heartbeat classification using adaptive orthogonal transformations. In *EUROCAST 2019*, Springer LNCS, 2020.

# Example: adaptive Hermite functions VPNet



30/48

**I** Nonlinear parameters: dilation and translation  $\theta = [\tau, \lambda]^T \in \mathbb{R}^2$ 

$$\Phi_k(\tau,\lambda;t) := \sqrt{\lambda} \cdot \Phi_k \left(\lambda(t-\tau)\right) \quad (t,\tau \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0)$$

Applications:

- ECG compression [6]
- ECG segmentation / delineation [7]
- ECG, BP, AP waveform modeling [8]
- ECG heartbeat classification [9]

#### Figure: Fitting the P, T waves, and the QRS complex.

- [6] T. Dózsa, P. Kovács. ECG signal compression using adaptive Hermite functions. Adv Int Syst Comput, 2015.
- [7] P. Kovács, C. Böck, J. Meier, M. Huemer. ECG segmentation using adaptive Hermite functions. In Asilomar, 2017.
- [8] P. Kovács, C. Böck, T. Dózsa, J. Meier, M. Huemer. Waveform modeling by adaptive weighted Hermite functions. In ICASSP, 2019.
- [9] T. Dózsa, G. Bognár, P. Kovács. Ensemble learning for heartbeat classification using adaptive orthogonal transformations. In LNCS, 2020

## Example: adaptive Hermite (ECG) VPNet





Figure: Segmentation of an ECG based on optimized Hermite functions.



- $\blacksquare \ {\sf Nonlinear \ parameters: \ inverse \ poles \ } \theta \in \mathbb{D}^p$
- Applications:
  - ECG compression [10]
  - ECG segmentation / delineation [11]
  - ECG modeling [12] [13] [14]
  - ECG heartbeat classification [5], [15], [16]
  - EEG seizure detection [17]

<sup>[10]</sup> P. Kovács, S. Fridli, and F. Schipp. Generalized Rational Variable Projection With Application in ECG Compression. *IEEE Trans Sign Proc*, 2019.

<sup>[11]</sup> G. Bognár and S. Fridli. ECG Segmentation by Adaptive Rational Transform. In EUROCAST 2019, Springer LNCS, 2020.

<sup>[12]</sup> Š. Fridli, P. Kovács, L. Lócsi, and F. Schipp. Rational modeling of multi-lead QRS complexes in ECG signals. Ann Univ Sci Budapest, 2012.

<sup>[13]</sup> S. Fridli, L. Lócsi, and F. Schipp. Rational function system in ECG processing. In EUROCAST 2011, Springer LNCS, 2012.

<sup>[14]</sup> P. Kovács. Rational variable projection methods in ECG signal processing. In *EUROCAST 2017*, Springer LNCS, 2017.

<sup>[15]</sup> G. Bognár and S. Fridli. Heartbeat Classification of ECG Signals Using Rational Function Systems. In EUROCAST 2017, Springer LNCS, 2018.

<sup>[16]</sup> G. Bognár and S. Fridli. ECG Heartbeat Classification by Means of Variable Rational Projection. Biomed Sign Process Control, (to appear)

<sup>[17]</sup> K. Samiee, P. Kovács, and M. Gabbouj. Epileptic seizure classification of EEG time-series using rational discrete short time Fourier transform. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng*, 2014.

## Example: rational (ECG) VPNet



Figure: Example for the rational VarPro algorithm approximating a real ECG from PhysioNet MIT-BIH Arrhythmia Database.





[18] Yuneisy, E. G. G., Kovács, P., Huemer, M., Variable Projection for Multiple Frequency Estimation, in *ICASSP*, 2020, pp. 4811-4815.

















## Outline Architectures

- Motivations
- Thermographic imaging
- Model based approach
- ML based approach
- Hybrid approach
- Experiments
- VPNet
- Architectures
- Experiments
- Conclusion



## Convolutional Neural Networks Architectures





- Convolutional layers: convolution with nonlinear activation
- Pooling layers: dimension reduction
- Representation learning: built-in multilevel feature extraction
- Input: raw or preprocessed image
- Note: 1D CNN [19]

[19] S. Kiranyaz, O. Avci, O. Abdeljaber, T. Ince, M. Gabbouj, and D. J. Inman. 1D convolutional neural networks and applications: A survey, 2019.

## VPNet Architecture

Architectures





Input: raw or preprocessed signal
 VP layer(s): projection of the form
  $x \mapsto f^{(vp)}(x) = \Phi^+(\theta)x = c \qquad \text{(classification)}$ or

$$x \mapsto f^{(\mathsf{vp})}(x) = \Phi(\theta)\Phi^+(\theta)x = \tilde{x}$$
 (regression)



Novelty

- novel model-driven network architecture
- application: 1D signal processing
- Generality
  - arbitrary parameterized function systems
  - domain knowledge
- Interpretability
  - built-in feature extraction
  - interpretable parameters: nonlinear VP system parameters
  - direct connection with morphological properties
- Simplicity
  - few system parameters only
  - compact architecture (cf. CNN and DNN)

## Backpropagation Architectures



- Offline supervised learning
- Backpropagation, stochastic gradient descent
- Gradients of VP coefficients:

$$f^{(\mathbf{vp})}(x) = \Phi^+(\theta)x, \qquad \frac{\partial f^{(\mathbf{vp})}}{\partial \theta_j} = \frac{\partial \Phi^+(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j}x,$$

where [3]

$$\begin{split} \partial \Phi^+ &= -\Phi^+ \partial \Phi \Phi^+ + \Phi^+ \left[ \Phi^+ \right]^T \partial \Phi^T (I - \Phi \Phi^+) + \\ &+ (I - \Phi^+ \Phi) \partial \Phi^T \left[ \Phi^+ \right]^T \Phi^+ \end{split}$$

Gradients of VP projection:

$$f^{(\mathsf{vp})}(x) = \Phi(\theta)\Phi^+(\theta)x, \qquad \frac{\partial f^{(\mathsf{vp})}}{\partial \theta_j} = \frac{\partial(\Phi(\theta)\Phi^+(\theta))}{\partial \theta_j}x,$$

where [3]

$$\partial(\Phi\Phi^+) = (I - \Phi\Phi^+)\partial\Phi\Phi^+ + ((I - \Phi\Phi^+)\partial\Phi\Phi^+)^T$$

## Outline Experiments

- Motivations
- Thermographic imaging
- Model based approach
- ML based approach
- Hybrid approach
- Experiments
- VPNet
- Architectures

## Experiments

## Conclusion





- Implementation: PyTorch / native NumPy framework (custom plugin / own implementation)
- Function system: adaptive Hermite functions

 $\Phi_k(\tau,\lambda;x) := \sqrt{\lambda} \cdot \psi_k\left(\lambda(x-\tau)\right) \qquad (x,\tau \in \mathbb{R}, \ \lambda > 0)$ 

(nonlinear parameters: translation and dilation)

- Synthetic dataset generation
- Real-world dataset: MIT-BIH Arrhythmia Database (ECG classification problems)
- Exhausting evaluation of hyperparameters
- Comparison with fully-connected (FCNN) and convolutional neural networks (CNN)

## Synthetic Hermite dataset Experiments





Samples: linear combinations of Hermite functions of the form

$$x_k = \Phi(\tau_k, \lambda_k) \cdot c_k.$$

Separable coefficients (3 classes)

 $(c_{k,0}, c_{k,1}, c_{k,2}) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ : on spherical shells by classes

 $c_{k,3}$  and  $c_{k,4}$ : amplitude normalization

Similar system parameters

 $au_k$  and  $\lambda_k$ : generated randomly with given mean and variance

## Synthetic Hermite evaluation Experiments





Figure: Best training curves

## Synthetic Hermite evaluation Experiments





Figure: Best test accuracy depending on the number of hidden neurons

## Real ECG dataset Experiments





- PhysioNet MIT-BIH Arrhythmia Database
- Reduced, balanced subset
- Normal ↔ ventricular ectopic heartbeats
- Training: 4260-4260 beats (DS1), test: 3220-3220 (DS2)

## Real ECG evaluation

#### Experiments





Figure: Best test accuracy depending on the number of hidden neurons



## Motivations

- Thermographic imaging
- Model based approach
- ML based approach
- Hybrid approach
- Experiments
- VPNet
- Architectures
- Experiments

## Conclusion



## Conclusion



- Summary
  - Novel model-based architecture for 1D signal processing
  - General, flexible construction
  - Compactness
  - Explainability, interpretable parameters
  - Preliminary results: outperforms FCNN and CNN wrt. convergence and accuracy
- Further research
  - Mathematical and computational properties
  - New fields of applications
  - Classification, regression, clustering problems
  - Different architectures, other ML methods combined with VP
- Cooperation partners









