## Advice for difficult homework exercises Experimental Design #5

## Helmut Waldl

## summer term 2025

• ad 17: The first part must not be a problem at all ...

For the second part of the exercise we start from the model in the form

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{1} \mathbf{\mu} + \mathbf{X}_eta oldsymbol{eta} + \mathbf{X}_\gamma oldsymbol{\gamma} + \mathbf{X}_2 oldsymbol{ au} + oldsymbol{arepsilon}$$

where

- $\mathbf{X}_{\beta}$  is the design matrix corresponding to the  $b_1$  random block effects  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  with  $\mathsf{E}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mu_{\beta} \mathbf{1}_{b_1}$  and  $\mathsf{Var}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sigma_{\beta}^2 \mathbf{I}_{b_1}$  and
- $-\mathbf{X}_{\gamma}$  is the design matrix corresponding to the  $b_2$  fixed block effects  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$

we analyze the transformed model for

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_2 &= \mathbf{X}_{\beta}^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}_{\beta}^T \mathbf{1} \boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{X}_{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}_{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{X}_{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} + \mathbf{X}_{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}_2 \boldsymbol{\tau} + \mathbf{X}_{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \\ &= b_2 \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{1}_{b_1} + b_2 \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{1}_{b_2}^T \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{1}_{b_1} + \mathbf{X}_{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}_2 \boldsymbol{\tau} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i.} \end{aligned}$$

where

- $-\mathbf{1}_{b_2}^T \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{1}_{b_1}$  is a  $b_1$ -vector where all components are  $\sum_{j=1}^{b_2} \gamma_j$  and
- $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i.}$  is a  $b_1$ -vector where the *i*-th component is the sum of the  $b_2$  independent errors of row-block i

Now we separate the mean and the error part of the random effect  $\beta = \mu_{\beta} \mathbf{1}_{b_1} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\beta}$ and combine the intercept and the constant sum of  $\gamma$ -parameters:

$$\mathbf{y}_2 - b_2 \mu_eta \mathbf{1}_{b_1} = \mathbf{1}_{b_1} \left( b_2 \mu + \mathbf{1}_{b_2}^T \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right) + \mathbf{X}_eta^T \mathbf{X}_2 \boldsymbol{\tau} + \left( b_2 \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_eta + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i.} 
ight) =$$
  
=  $\mathbf{Z}_1 \, 
u + \mathbf{Z}_2 \, \boldsymbol{ au}_{inter} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2$ 

i.e.

- $-\nu = b_2 \mu + \mathbf{1}_{b_2}^T \boldsymbol{\gamma}$  is the nuisance parameter for the (now) CRD with corresponding design matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_1$  and
- and  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2$  is the new error term with  $\mathsf{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2) = \mathbf{0}_{b_1}$  and  $\mathsf{Var}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2) = (b_2^2 \sigma_\beta^2 + b_2 \sigma^2) \mathbf{I}_{b_1}$

For this new model go for the estimates of the differences between the treatment parameters ...

Note that the standard errors for the estimated differences between  $\tau_{inter}$  are much higher than these for the differences between  $\tau$  in the fixed effects model.

Why is the following argument wrong? "On the other hand the standard errors for the estimated differences between  $\tau_{intra}$  are smaller because of the bigger error degrees of freedom of the intra-model.