

Advice for difficult homework exercises

Experimental Design #4

Helmut Waldl

summer term 2025

- **ad 11:** Part (b) could already help you ...

The answer to (b) is „certainly YES“, so $\mathbf{X}_2$ and $\mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{X}_2$ have to be the same for both designs. We will come back to that later.

Let us first construct the design matrices as if we would have a regular unreplicated Latin square with $(t + 1)$ treatments. The nuisance parameter design matrix $\mathbf{X}_1$ doesn't change if we apply treatment 1 instead of treatment $(t + 1)$ to all units originally dedicated to treatment $(t + 1)$ - so also $\mathbf{H}_1$ doesn't change.

Only $\mathbf{X}_2$ changes a little bit. Originally $\mathbf{X}_2$ consists of $(t + 1)$ different permutation matrices for each row-block. If we apply treatment 1 to all units dedicated to treatment $(t + 1)$ we have to place the one in column 1 instead in column $(t + 1)$ in all rows associated to these units.

In a more algebraic way we could say: we add the $(t + 1)$ -th column to the first column of the original $\mathbf{X}_2$ and cancel column $(t + 1)$ afterwards (if we do not apply treatment $(t + 1)$ it makes no sense to record a parameter for this treatment). If you order the rows of $\mathbf{X}_2$ with respect to the columns you should see that you get $\mathbf{X}_2$ from the CRD mentioned in part (b).

Lets go on with the computations for $\mathbf{X}_{2|1}$

- think about what the sum of this $(t + 1)$ transformed permutation matrices is.
- then try to compute $\mathbf{X}_1^T\mathbf{X}_2$
- try to find a matrix $\mathbf{Z}$ of simple structure such that $\mathbf{X}_1^T\mathbf{X}_2 = \mathbf{X}_1^T\mathbf{Z}$ (very similar to what you can see on the bottom of page 81 in the textbook).

Now we can see that $\mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{X}_2 = \mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{Z}$. $\mathbf{H}_1$ projects on the linear space spanned by the columns of $\mathbf{X}_1$. So what happens if you project the columns of $\mathbf{Z}$ onto this space?

Now you should have $\mathbf{X}_{2|1}$. Try to compute $\mathbf{X}_{2|1}$ for the design mentioned in part (b) and compare the results.

Condition E!!!