## Guideline

## **Abstract**

A typical abstract usually consists of several sections, which can vary depending on the field of study and the publication guidelines. The structure of an abstract typically includes:

- 1. **Introduction or Background:** This section presents the context and relevance of the study or topic. It describes the problem, motivation, and purpose of the study.
- 2. **Methodology:** It describes the methods or approaches used in the study. This section can vary based on the type of research (e.g., empirical, theoretical, experimental) and sometimes might be presented as part of the results or main findings.
- 3. **Results:** A concise summary of the key findings or outcomes of the study.
- 4. **Conclusion or Summary:** This provides concluding remarks, implications, and, if relevant, future research directions or applications.

The length of an abstract can vary based on the requirements of the publication, journal, or conference. Often, the length ranges between 150 to 250 words, sometimes extending up to 300 words. This is approximately 10-15 sentences, with each segment—introduction, methodology, results, conclusions—being succinctly articulated and weighted accordingly.

It's crucial for an abstract to be concise and informative, summarizing the core aspects of the study without delving into excessive detail. It's also advisable to consider the specific requirements of the journal or publication to which the abstract is being submitted.

## **Assessment Rubric**

## **Abstract**

Of course! Here is the table filled with the corresponding texts:

Evaluation Levels	Relevance of Content	Clarity and Structure	Originality and Innovation	Language Precision and Clarity
1 Insufficient	The abstract lacks relevant information or fails to establish a clear connection to the topic.	The abstract is confusing or poorly structured.	The abstract lacks originality and innovative approaches.	The abstract is linguistically unclear, flawed, or difficult to understand.
2 Poor	The relevance of content is incomplete or unclear.	The structure of the abstract is weak and affects its comprehensibility.	Limited originality or innovative potential in the presented work.	Linguistic errors hinder understanding of the abstract.
3 Adequate	The abstract contains relevant information, but some areas could be more precisely presented.	The abstract is mostly clear in structure, but some sections could be improved.	The abstract shows some approaches of originality and innovation, though not particularly pronounced.	The abstract is mostly well-written, but there are some linguistic improvement opportunities.
4 Good	The abstract is contextually relevant and provides a good understanding of the topic.	The abstract is clear and well- structured, facilitating understanding.	The work presents original approaches and demonstrates innovative potential.	The text is linguistically clear and precise.
5 Excellent	The abstract is highly relevant, precise, and offers a comprehensive portrayal of the topic.	The abstract is clear, precisely structured, and easy to comprehend.	The abstract is extremely original and innovative regarding the treated subject.	The abstract is linguistically excellent, clear, and precise.

This table can serve as a guide to assess abstracts based on the defined criteria. Depending on the requirements and context of your evaluation, you can add more specific criteria or modify the existing ones.